

GERAGOS & GERAGOS

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
LAWYERS

644 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017-3411
TELEPHONE (213) 625-3900
FACSIMILE (213) 625-1600

MARK J. GERAGOS SBN 108325
SHELLEY KAUFMAN SBN 100696
BENJAMIN MEISELAS SBN 277412
JOHN M. CLIMACO SBN 213224

JOHN R. CLIMACO
JOHN A. PECA
DAVID M. CUPPAGE
SCOTT D. SIMPKINS
CLIMACO, WILCOX, PECA, TARANTINO & GAROFOLI, CO., L.P.A.
55 Public Square
Suite 1950
Cleveland, Ohio 44113
Phone: (216) 621-8484
Fax: (216) 771-1632

EDWARD W. COCHRAN
20030 Marchmont Road
Shaker Heights, Ohio 44122
Phone: 216-751-5546
Fax: 216-751-6630

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. CV12-01863 JSW

Jessica Cantrall, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated
and the general public,

vs.

Hartford Financial Services Group,
Hartford Fire Insurance Company;
Property and Casualty Insurance
Company of Hartford; Twin City Fire
Insurance Company Hartford
Underwriters Insurance Company;
Hartford Insurance Company of the
Midwest; Hartford Casualty Insurance
Company; Hartford Accident and
Indemnity Company; Hartford Specialty
Company and Does 1 through 50,
inclusive,

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER RESCHEDULING
BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS**

1 Plaintiff Jessica Cantrall (“Plaintiff”), on the one hand, and Defendants
2 Hartford Financial Services Group, Hartford Fire Insurance Company; Property and
3 Casualty Insurance Company of Hartford; Twin City Fire Insurance Company
4 Hartford Underwriters Insurance Company; Hartford Insurance Company of the
5 Midwest, Hartford Casualty Insurance Company; Hartford Accident and Indemnity
6 Company; Hartford Specialty Company (collectively, “Defendants”), on the other
7 hand, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

8
9 WHEREAS, on April 13, 2012, Plaintiff filed this action against Defendants in
10 the United States District Court Northern District of California (Doc. 1);

11
12 WHEREAS, on July 3, 2012, Defendants filed an Amended Notice of Motion
13 and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint. The Motion is currently set to be heard
14 on September 14, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. (Doc. 21);

15
16 WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-3, Plaintiff’s Opposition is due for
17 submission on July 13, 2012, based upon the original filing date of the motion.
18 Defendant’s Reply is due for submission on July 20, 2012.

19
20 Defendants initially sought an additional two weeks in prepare their Motion to
21 Dismiss until June 12, 2012, and then a second stipulation to file the Motion on June
22 29, 2012. At that time, because of the July 4th holiday, Defendants agreed that they
23 would stipulate to an extension of time for Plaintiffs to file the opposition, if
24 necessary. Initially, Plaintiffs believed that the opposition could be filed within the
25 time frame of the service of the initial Motion; however Defendants indicated that
26 they would require further time to submit their reply based upon vacation schedules of
27 counsel.

1 As the Motion raises numerous issues and attaches multiple exhibits, Plaintiff
2 requires additional time to submit her opposition in light of the holiday week which
3 has caused some delay in preparing the opposition and various holiday schedules of
4 counsel involved in the preparation of the opposition.

5
6 Further, under the current briefing schedule Defendants' lead counsel will be
7 unavailable for four of the seven days Defendants have to prepare their reply brief due
8 to vacation. Furthermore, additional counsel necessary to the preparation of the reply
9 will be out of the country from July 14 through 26.

10
11 WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendants each desire additional time to oppose and
12 reply to the Motion. Based on this fact and based on the fact that Defendants' Notice
13 of Motion is set sufficiently in advance to permit an enlargement of the current
14 briefing schedule, the Parties hereby stipulate pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2, and hereby
15 respectfully request the Court's permission to change the current briefing schedule
16 accordingly:

17 ///

18 ///

- a) The date for filing Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss be changed to July 23, 2012.
- b) The date for filing Defendants' Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition be changed to August 9, 2012.
- c) The hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss remains unchanged.

DATED: July 11, 2012

GERAGOS & GERAGOS, APC

By: /S/
SHELLEY KAUFMAN
Attorneys for Plaintiff
JESSICA CANTRALL

DATED: July 11, 2012

STEIN & LUBIN LLP

By: /S/
ELLEN A. CIRANGLE
Attorneys for Defendants
HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES
GROUP, HARTFORD FIRE INSURANCE
COMPANY; PROPERTY AND CASUALTY
INSURANCE COMPANY OF HARTFORD;
TWIN CITY FIRE INSURANCE
COMPANY HARTFORD
UNDERWRITERS INSURANCE
COMPANY; HARTFORD INSURANCE
COMPANY OF THE MIDWEST,
HARTFORD CASUALTY INSURANCE
COMPANY; HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND
INDEMNITY COMPANY; HARTFORD
SPECIALTY COMPANY

Therefore, good cause appearing, **IT IS ORDERED THAT:**

1. Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss shall be due on July 23, 2012.
2. Defendants' Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition shall be due on August 9, 2012.
3. The hearing date on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, currently set for September 14, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. shall remain unchanged.

DATED: July 13, 2012

By: 
HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE